



Environmental Impact Assessment Report

Volume 4

Appendix 8.4 Marine Protected Areas Assessment Report



Table of contents

1	Introduction	6
2	Assessment Methodology	7
3	Consultation	8
4	Appraisal of potential effects on MPAs	8
5	Conclusion	22
6	References	23

Page 3 of 24



List of tables

Table 1 Ecological Sensitivity Analysis of Western Irish Sea to Inform Future MPAs, selected	ed	
features.	10	

Page 4 of 24



Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Term in Full	
AA	Appropriate Assessment	
CIEEM	Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management	
CPUE	Catch Per Unit Effort	
CWP	Codling Wind Park	
DATRAS	Database of Trawl Surveys	
DHLGH	Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
EIAR	Environmental Impact Assessment Report	
MarESA	Marine Evidence based Sensitivity Assessment	
MarLIN	Marine Life Information Network	
MPA	Marine Protected Area	
NMPF	National Marine Planning Framework	
OECC	Offshore export cable corridor	
ORE	Offshore Renewable Energy	
SEA	Strategic Environmental Assessment	
VERS	Valued Ecological Receptor	
Zol	Zone of Influence	



APPENDIX 8.4 MARINE PROTECTED AREAS ASSESSMENT REPORT

1 Introduction

1. In December 2022, the Marine Protected Area (MPA) Advisory Group conducted ecological sensitivity analysis of the Irish Sea (MPA Advisory Group, 2023). Although this sensitivity analysis will not lead directly to the identification and designation of MPAs, its recommendations are intended to feed into that process. It is important to note that this report has been produced on a precautionary basis to address the relevant policies of the National Marine Planning Framework (NMPF), and to consider the features identified within the ecological sensitivity analysis of the Irish Sea report (MPA Advisory Group, 2023). The MPA Advisory Group report (*ibid*) did not seek to identify MPAs, and instead identified forty distinct features in order to achieve the principle 4 objectives of the analysis. The objectives of the analysis were as follows:

Objective 1.

To undertake a comprehensive scientific screening exercise for possible future MPAs in a defined marine region off the east and southeast of Ireland. This will be done through a process and using selection criteria and features that are as consistent as possible with the provisions set out in the forthcoming MPA legislation.

Objective 2.

To facilitate open and constructive engagement with key Government and non-Government stakeholders that have extensive maritime interests in the Irish Sea (e.g., culture/heritage, defence, fisheries, ORE, transport, recreation), to integrate their participation and consider their interests as part of the analysis and mapping processes within the project.

Objective 3.

To ensure that any rationales and recommendations for the potential designation of MPAs in the study area, as determined by the work of the reconstituted MPA Advisory Group, will be up to date and in time for active consideration by DHLGH when the MPA legislation comes into force.

Objective 4.

To facilitate potential future identification by the Government of viable "go-to-areas" for offshore energy projects in the Irish Sea, in view of any biodiversity/environmental/cultural/other sectoral constraints that are concluded via the project.

- 2. The report did not seek to identify or recommend MPAs and nor did it seek to imply the prevention of activity either within the identified area of search or future MPAs that may be identified. As such this report takes a highly precautionary approach in identifying the potential for the relevant features to be impacted and the potential therefore for future conservation objectives to be impeded.
- 3. This document should be read in conjunction with the **Planning Report** which details how the Project has complied with relevant plans and policies, including the NMPF which states under *Protected Marine Sites Policy 4*:

'Until the ecological coherence of the network of protected marine sites is examined and understood, proposals should identify, by review of best available evidence (including consultation with the competent authority with responsibility for designating such areas as

Page 6 of 24



required), the features, under consideration at the time the application is made, that may be required to develop and further establish the network. Based upon identified features that may be required to develop and further establish the network, proposals should demonstrate that they will, in order of preference, and in accordance with legal requirements:

a) avoid,

- b) minimise, or
- c) mitigate

significant impacts on features that may be required to develop and further establish the network, or

d) if it is not possible to mitigate significant impacts, proposals should set out the reasons for proceeding.'

4. This report considers the features of the aforementioned MPA Advisory Group report (2023) and details where in the CWP Project application suitable level of assessment can be provided to allow a decision to be reached that will, in order of preference, and in accordance with legal requirements, demonstrate that the CWP Project has avoided, minimised, or mitigated significant impacts on features that may be required to develop and further establish the network. As the project has avoided all significant effects, and introduced relevant mitigation measures to ensure the conclusions of no significant effects are beyond any reasonable scientific doubt this report does not provide consideration of the reasons for why the proposal should proceed.

2 Assessment Methodology

2.1 Assessment overlap with the CWP Project

- 5. Overlap is considered against the study area(s) and zone(s) of influence relevant to each technical assessment.
- 6. The study areas and zones of influence (Zol) referred to within this report are detailed within the relevant chapters for fish ecology (Volume 3, Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology) and benthic and subtidal ecology (Volume 3, Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology).
- 7. The Zol for both receptor groups is based on a combination of the modelling presented in **Volume 4**, **Appendix 6.3 Modelling Report** and **Volume 4**, **Appendix 9.4 Underwater Noise Assessment**.
- 8. The modelling identified the greatest direction and distance of dispersion of disturbed material was 9 10 km to the east, although one scenario showed dispersion to the south east reaching 6 7 km and to the west reaching 3 4 km. The model underwent calibration and validation and was deemed fit-for-purpose; however it is a predictive model, and with a view to applying the precautionary principle, the study area for benthic ecology has been defined as a 20 km radius.
- 9. Underwater noise modelling for fish identified a behavioural effect at up to 34 km, which is taken to be the maximum ZoI for the project with regards features of interest for the MPA Advisory Group Report (2023).
- 10. This study area has been applied to the MPA sensitivity report to identify the potential interaction with the features identified within the MPA Advisory Group report (2023). Reference has been made to the

Page 7 of 24



MPA Advisory Group report (2023) appendix which presents the spatial distribution of the habitats and species. See **Annex A** of this document.

2.2 Assessment of a features potential to be impacted by the CWP Project

- 11. This assessment is undertaken in advance of the creation of a defined network of MPA's and associated conservation objectives. As such, consideration of significant impacts in line with the EIA assessments undertaken for relevant features is considered appropriate to determine whether the CWP Project has avoided, minimised, or mitigated significant impacts on features that may be required to develop and further establish the network. In the absence of conservation of objectives, it is concluded that where there is no significant effect predicted on the receptor (species or habitat) it is similarly reasonable to conclude that there will be no impediment to the designation of an MPA for the relevant feature, or to the future conservation objective being achieved, as a result of the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning of the CWP Project.
- 12. Accordingly, the assessment presented below refers to and draws conclusions from the EIA technical assessments to reach a finding as to whether no significant adverse impacts can be concluded with respect to the feature described.

3 Consultation

- 13. It is relevant to note that the NMPF was subject to extensive consultation and environmental assessment, including Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Appropriate Assessment (AA).
- 14. Consultation relevant to the features of the MPA sensitivity report is reported upon within the following chapters:
 - Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology
 - Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology

4 Appraisal of potential effects on MPAs

- 15. Table 1 lists all features identified within the MPA Advisory Group report (2023), considers the potential overlap of the feature with the CWP Project (and its associated zones of influence), and presents an assessment as to the likelihood of significant adverse impacts arising on features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network. Specific reference is made to the supporting appendix to the MPA Advisory Group report, which notes the spatial distribution of the features which in turn has been used to identify the areas of search. It is noted that the MPA Advisory Group report, and more specifically the appendices that support it, rely entirely on publicly available datasets such as the Marine Institute Water Framework Directive data, Database of Trawl Surveys (DATRAS) data, and ICEAS reporting such as Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) data and the Marine Strategy Framework Directive data portals (Se Appendix 8 of the MPA Advisory Group report for full data sources). The CWP Project application has similarly relied on these datasets to characterise the receiving environment but complimented the broadscale regional datasets with site specific surveys. When considering the likely distribution of features the CWP Project assessment can therefore be taken as a robust and fit for purpose characterisation and subsequent assessment.
- 16. Specific reference has also been made to Table 3.4.1 of the MPA Advisory Group report (2023) when identifying the likely sensitivity of the identified features to the impact pathways associated with the CWP Project, alongside the broader scientific literature and the Marine Evidence based Sensitivity

Page 8 of 24



Assessment (MarESA) / Marine Life Information Network (MarLIN) database for benthic habitats; this latter source being the recommended guidance for marine assessment (Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM), 2019). The sensitivity assessments provided by MarLIN / MarESA are incorporated into the EIAR for the CWP Project as an important component of the sensitivity alongside any more contemporary data and grey literature that may be available, such as industry specific monitoring, and also magnitude. Whilst the consideration of magnitude similarly links to MarLIN / MarESA with regards the sensitivity benchmarks it also considers the extent of the impact in the context of the available habitat. This therefore provides a complete assessment of the works associated with the CWP Project, using best available science and data to inform sensitivity and magnitude through reference to the relevant benchmarks for the proposed project. In this context it is not always obvious in the MPA Advisory Group report which benchmark is used for sensitivity, and over which timescale. For example the MPA Advisory Group report refers to ross worm (Sabellaria spinulosa) reefs, circalittoral coarse sediments, and circalittoral mixed sediments being highly sensitive to offshore windfarms, and loss of substrate as a result of ORE cables for example, however this does not reflect the temporary nature of cable installation, seabed recovery following installation, and the ability for aspects such as cable protection to become covered in mobile sediment following installation which in turn means the sediment retains its characteristics and ross worm (Sabellaria spinulosa) reefs to establish.

- 17. It is also important to note that the potential interaction between the CWP Project and the MPA areas of search is minimal, with direct overlap only present in an area of lowest selection frequency. As such the likelihood of any direct impacts that may impact the features of interest for MPAs is considered low. The likely interactions with identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network are therefore all temporary, secondary effects, which are not considered within the MPA Advisory Group report or the associated Appendices.
- 18. The MPA Advisory Group conducted ecological sensitivity analysis of the Celtic Sea was published at the end of June (MPA Advisory Group report, 2024), however the area it covers only extends as far north as the St George's Channel, which is beyond the Zol of the CWP Project, and therefore there is no potential for the CWP Project to impact the zones within the report of any future MPA designated therein.



Table 1 Ecological Sensitivity Analysis of Western Irish Sea to Inform Future MPAs, selected features.

Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project
Common name	Latin name		
American plaice (long rough dab)	Hippoglossoides platessoides	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Though American plaice is not considered individually, mobile fish species have been assessed, as per the Valued Ecological Receptor (VERS) approach as outlined in the CIEEM (2022) guidance and set out in Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning of the CWP Project. Impact conclusions are considered to apply to all mobile fish species that may interact with the Project, with dab used as a recognised proxy for sensitivity to key pathways such as underwater noise. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Angel shark	Squatina squatina	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	 Mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed in Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Basking shark	Cetorhinus maximus	Potential overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices which notes a single record in the Zol. However, no	Mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed in Chapter 9 Fish , Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project.

Page 10 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project
Common name	Latin name		
		basking sharks were recorded during the CWP Project's site- specific surveys.	This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Blonde ray adults / juveniles	Raja brachyura	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed, as per the (VERS) approach as outlined in the CIEEM (2022) guidance and set out in Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt
			that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Bull huss	Scyliorhinus stellaris	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Though bull huss is not considered individually, mobile fish species have been assessed, as per the (VERS) approach as outlined in the CIEEM (2022) guidance and set out in Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance, and decommissioning of the CWP Project. Impact conclusions are considered to apply to all mobile fish species that may interact with the Project.
			This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Cuckoo ray adults / juveniles	Leucoraja naevus	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed in Chapter 9 Fish , Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project.

Page 11 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project
Common name	Latin name		
			This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Dog whelk	Nucella lapillus	Habitats that may support dog whelk (i.e. intertidal rocky substrate) assessed where relevant. However, no intertidal rocky reef predicted to be present within the offshore development area.	Littoral and shallow sublittoral habitats that have the potential to be affected have been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Edible sea urchin	Echinus esculentus	Habitats that may support this feature (i.e. subtidal rocky substrates) assessed where relevant. However, no rock substrate predicted to be present within the offshore development area.	 Habitats that have the potential to be affected have been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
European eel	Anguilla anguilla	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed in Chapter 9 Fish , Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.

Page 12 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project
Common name	Latin name		
lcelandic cyprine (ocean quahog)	Arctica islandica	Habitats that may support this feature (i.e. sediments) assessed. However, no rock substrate predicted to be present within the offshore development area.	 Habitats that have the potential to be affected have been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Pink sea fan	Eunicella verrucosa	Species not recorded in the baseline site specific surveys and no evidence of presence in the study area.	No potential to be affected.
Short snouted seahorse	Hippocampus hippocampus	No evidence of species presence and lack of suitable habitat (e.g. subtidal seagrass beds) within CWP area.	Not applicable, however mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed in Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Spotted ray adults / juveniles	Raja montagui	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed in Chapter 9 Fish , Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.

Page 13 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project
Common name	Latin name		
Starry smooth- hound	Mustelus asterias	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Though starry smooth-hound is not considered individually, mobile fish species have been assessed, as per the (VERS) approach as outlined in the CIEEM (2022) guidance and set out in Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project Impact conclusions are considered to apply to all mobile fish species that may interact with the Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Thornback ray adults / juveniles	Raja clavata	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed in Chapter 9 Fish , Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Торе	Galeorhinus galeus	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed in Chapter 9 Fish , Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.

Page 14 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project
Common name	Latin name		
Turbot	Scophthalmus maximus	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Though turbot is not considered individually, mobile fish species have been assessed, as per the (VERS) approach as outlined in the CIEEM (2022) guidance and set out in Chapter 9 Fish, Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project.
			Impact conclusions are considered to apply to all mobile fish species that may interact with the Project.
			This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Witch flounder	Glyptocephalus cynoglossus	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed in Chapter 9 Fish , Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project.
			This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Ross worm reefs	Sabellaria spinulosa	No Sabellaria spinulosa reefs were found in the baseline assessment and Sabellaria spinulosa is not a characterising species of any of the biotopes identified in the baseline site specific surveys. Potentially present within the study area.	Sabellaria spinulosa has been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.

Page 15 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project
Common name	Latin name		
Sea-pen and burrowing megafauna communities	Pennatula phosphorea, Funiculina quadrangulata, Virgularia mirabilis	Not present in the subtidal and intertidal ecology study area.	No potential to be affected
Barrel jelly	Rhizostoma octopus	Barrel jellyfish only occur in very specific shallow bays, with only one known location identified in all Irish waters. As such the waters off Rosslare and north along the beaches of Curracloe provide a very unique habitat for this species. No overlap is therefore considered the CWP Project.	No potential to be affected
Herring spawning grounds / areas / beds	Clupea harengus	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	Mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed in Chapter 9 Fish , Shellfish and Turtle Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
 Forage / juvenile fish European sprat; Juvenile cod; Juvenile haddock; Sandeel greater; Sandeel lesser; 	 Sprattus sprattus; Gadus morhua; Melanogrammus aeglefinus; Hyperoplus lanceolatus; 	Potential secondary overlap identified through reference to the spatial analysis presented in the MPA Advisory Group report and appendices.	

Page 16 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project
Common name	Latin name		
 Juvenile whiting; Juvenile herring; Norway pout 	 Ammodytes tobianus; Merlangius merlangus; Clupea harengus; Trisopterus esmarkii 		
Sub-tidal mussel beds	Mytilus edulis	No <i>Mytilus edulis</i> beds were present in the baseline site specific surveys, and <i>Mytilus</i> beds are not reported as present in current publicly available data for the Zol.	No potential to be affected
Circalittoral coarse sediments	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and offshore export cable corridor (OECC) area.	Circalittoral coarse sediments have been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Circalittoral mixed sediments	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Circalittoral mixed sediments have been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.

Page 17 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project
Common name	Latin name		
Circalittoral mud	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Circalittoral mud has been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Circalittoral sand	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Circalittoral sand has been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Infralittoral coarse sediments	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Infralittoral coarse sediments have been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.
Infralittoral mixed sediments	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Infralittoral mixed sediments have been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project.

Page 18 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project			
Common name	Latin name					
			This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.			
Infralittoral mud	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Infralittoral mud has been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.			
Infralittoral sand	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Infralittoral sand has been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.			
Offshore circalittoral coarse sediments	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Offshore circalittoral coarse sediments have been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.			
Offshore circalittoral mixed sediments	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Offshore circalittoral mixed sediments have been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the			

Page 19 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project				
Common name	Latin name						
			construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project.				
			This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.				
Offshore circalittoral mud	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Offshore circalittoral mud has been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.				
Offshore circalittoral sand	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Offshore circalittoral sand has been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.				
Offshore circalittoral rock and biogenic reef	n/a	Overlap with both the CWP array site and OECC area.	Offshore circalittoral rock and biogenic reef have been assessed in Chapter 8 Subtidal and Intertidal Ecology for potential impacts from the CWP Project and all impacts were assessed as not significant during the construction, operation and maintenance and decommissioning, of the CWP Project. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.				

Page 20 of 24



Selected feature		Overlap with CWP Project	Potential to be impacted by CWP Project		
Common name	Latin name				
Carbon n/a N sequestration for		No spatial information on this feature provided.	It is considered that the installation and operation of the CWP Project will not hinder the marine environment in the provision of ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration. Indeed, it is considered by Chapter 28 Climate- Carbon Balance Assessment that the CWP Project will have a positive, long-term and significant impact in terms of lifetime carbon balance. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network.		
Western Irish Sea Front	n/a	No overlap of the Western Irish Sea Front with the offshore development area.	No potential to be affected.		
European flat oyster	Ostrea edulis	European flat oyster beds not present in the CWP Project study area.	No potential to be affected.		

Page 21 of 24



5 Conclusion

- 19. This report has considered the potential interaction with identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network, through reference to the EIAR and the reports produced by the MPA Advisory Group (2023).
- 20. Benthic habitats, communities and invertebrates identified within the report, alongside mobile fish and turtle species have been assessed through reference to the data and conclusions presented within the relevant chapters of the CWP Project EIAR. Potential impacts from the CWP Project were assessed as not significant, for all relevant pathways, during the construction, operation and decommissioning, of the CWP Project.
- 21. This conclusion therefore means beyond reasonable scientific doubt that there will be no adverse effect on identified features that may be required to develop and further establish an MPA network, and the presence of the CWP Project will not result in an impediment to the designation of an MPA with the areas of search identified by the MPA Advisory Group.



6 References

- 22. CIEEM (2018) Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland: Terrestrial, Freshwater, Coastal and Marine version 1.1. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester
- 23. MPA Advisory Group for the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage. May 2023. Ecological sensitivity analysis of the western Irish Sea to inform future designation of Marie Protected Areas (MPAs).
- 24. MPA Advisory Group for the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage. May 2023. Ecological sensitivity analysis of the western Irish Sea to inform future designation of Marie Protected Areas (MPAs). Appendices.
- 25. MPA Advisory Group for the Department of Housing, Local Government and Heritage. June 2024. Ecological sensitivity analysis of the Celtic Sea to inform future designation of Marie Protected Areas (MPAs).



ANNEX A

Page 24 of 24









20	5,980,000		50 km		OpenSt ne) cont	₩ eetMap ributors			53°N
			egend Plann Array OECC Area Spatia and ju	ing Applica site (34 kn C (20 km br of interest al distributio iveniles	tion h buf uffer on of	Boundar fer)) [:] blonde r	y (P.	AB) adults	5
	5,880,000								
N N State State State N	5,780,000	Cow CWP Interna IS-PAB.V	dling nd park Spatial dis doc. number: al descriptive co	Project: Codling Win Fig stribution of juve CWP-NPC-E	d Parl ure 4 blor enile NG-08 Size:	Contracto www.natur 4 nde ray a 5 -01-MAP-17 A3	or: alpower, dult	s and	
site (Rev.	Final for issue	Vol. 04.Ap.08.4.FIG.04)	Scale:	Date 2024/08/16	By AC	G 2583(Chk'd ME/EA	App'd SM





	5,980,000	50 km © OpenSteetMap (ane) contributors		53°N
		Legend Planning Application Boundary (F Array site (34 km buffer) OECC (20 km buffer) Area of interest Spatial distribution of cuckoo ray and juveniles	PAB) adult	6
	5,880,000			
-		codling Project: Contractor: Codling Wind Park www.naturalpowe	natu	al wer
100		Figure 6 Spatial distribution of cuckoo ray adul	te an	
	000,0	juveniles		t
	5,780,000	CWP doc. number: CWP-NPC-ENG-08-01-MAP-1719		t
	5,780,000	Internal descriptive code: Size: A3 CR Internal descriptive code: Size: A3 CR S-PA_WFRLE_BUFF_34m_OECC PB_RLB_BUFF20XM Scale: 1:1,000,000 EP	25: SG 2583	
Let I have been a second	5,780,000	Internal descriptive code: Size: A3 CR Internal descriptive code: Size: A3 CR Internal descriptive code: Size: A3 CR Impassion Scale: 1:1,000,000 EP Rev. Updates Date By 00 Final for issue 2024/08/16 AC	25: SG 25830 Chk'd ME/EA) Арр'd SM
A.		codling wind park Project: Codling Wind Park Contractor: www.naturalpowe Figure 6 Spatial distribution of cuckoo ray adult	natu r.com po	









	5,980,000		9 OpenSteetMap anel) contributors					
		Legend Planning Applica Array site (34 km OECC (20 km bild) Area of interest Spatial distribution adults and juven	ation Boundary (PAB) n buffer) ouffer) ion of spotted ray niles					
	5,880,000							
		Codling Codling Win	nd Park					
	000'	Figure 10 Spatial distribution of spotted ray adults and juveniles						
1	5,780	CWP doc. number: CWP-NPC-E	ENG-08-01-MAP-1723					
2		Internal descriptive code: Is - PAB. WFRLB. BUFFs 34km. DECC PB.RLB. BUFF 20KM - MPSA. SENS SPOTT RAY - (EIAR: Vol.04 Ap.08 4.FIG.10)	Size: A3 CRS: Scale: 1:1,000,000 EPSG 25830					
-		Rev. Updates 00 Final for issue	Date By Chk'd App'd 2024/08/16 AC ME/EA SM					
1								
24								





	5,980,000		5°W Z P OpenSteetMap anel) contributors
		Legend Planning Applica Array site (34 kr OECC (20 km b Area of interest Spatial distributi adults and juver	ation Boundary (PAB) m buffer) buffer) ion of thornback ray niles
	5,880,000		
100		codling Codling Wir	nd Park
- HAN -	000	ure 12 thornback ray adults and <i>r</i> eniles	
1-	5,780	CWP doc. number: CWP-NPC-E	ENG-08-01-MAP-1725
7		Internal descriptive code: Is- bas were set of the star second set of the surface of the set of the	Size: A3 CRS: Scale: 1:1.000.000 EPSG 25830
-		Rev. Updates	Date By Chk'd App'd
1		UU Final for Issue	2024/08/16 AC ME/EA SM
-			





















	5,980,000		50 km		5	eetMap tributors			53°N
			egend Plann Array OECC Area Spatia coars	ing Applica site (34 kr C (20 km b of interest al distributi e sedimen	ation n bu uffer on o t	Boundar ffer)) f circalitto	y (P.	AB)	
	5,880,000								
A State In		co wi	dling nd park	Project: Codling Wir Fig distribution	ure 2	k Contracte www.natur 22	or: alpower,	natur com po	کر ral wer
N. 4 . 1	5,780,000	CWP Interna IS-PAR-W SENSCRC Rev. 00	doc. number: al descriptive cc coarse servert rear coarse servert rear L Final for issue	Sec CWP-NPC-E ode: BBS & BUFF 2004 - NPSA. V384-A0 8634 FIG 221 /pdates	Size:	A3 3-01-MAP-17 A3 : 1:1,000,000 Date 2024/08/16	35 CRS EPS By AC	S: GG 25830 Chk'd ME/EA) <i>Арр'd</i> SM
111									

























